



Custody Scrutiny panel

Date: Wednesday 8th March 2023

Time: 18:00- 20:10

Location: Perry Barr Custody Suite

Panel Members: Mohammed Nadeem (Chair), Stassi Chilas, Dawn Dance, Gary Williams, Alison Walker, Paulette Sayers,

WMP: Supt Helen Bailey, Talib Hussain, Jason Nunn,

OPCC: Natalie Cox

Apologies: Jane Edgington, Pauline White, Joanna Camille, Daniel Chambers

	Item Discussed	Actions
1.	<p>Welcome and introduction by the chair.</p> <p>Attendee introductions.</p> <p>Minutes of the last meeting, update on any actions taken from last meeting.</p>	<p>TH – gave update on the actions from last panel records. – panel happy with feedback and answers.</p> <p>Still more time needed to fully understand the question/legal stance regarding “striped and strip search” – if stripping a person without searching is it classed as a strip search and should be recorded as one and what legislation should be followed?</p>
2.	<p>UPDATE:</p> <p>Pilot Ending of Scrutiny Panel pilot from Natalie Cox.</p> <p>Report submitted and previously agreed objectives to remain in place.</p> <p>Dates Panel meetings to move from quarterly to bi-monthly.</p> <p>Panel dates for the year: May 3rd – see actions July 5th Perry Barr Custody suite September 13th – Tally Ho</p>	<p>Natalie Cox - Panel recruitment of those with lived experiences, between 18 – 25 years old.</p> <p>Action Natalie Cox – Confirm location for future Panel meetings.</p> <p>Next panel in May was due to held at Tally Ho to have a rotation, However Tally Ho is currently not bookable so plan to hold the next panel at Perry Barr custody suite again. Question asked around using Lloyd house – however parking isn’t available for visitors in</p>



<p>November 8th – Custody suite</p> <p>Panel minutes to be uploaded to PCC website.</p> <p>Use of Force Legislation</p> <p>Query raised whether Panel members could be provided with a ‘training’ pack and crib sheet relating to Use of Force, to refer to during dip sample.</p> <p>Custody Data Update: On custody data from Helen Bailey and Jason Nunn</p> <p>Areas covered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ethnicity Compliance• Strip Searches• PACE Code C – Annex A (Strip Search Legislation)• Use of Force in Custody• Disproportionality <p>Plans for 24-hour youth workers to be based in custody blocks. Funding implications.</p> <p>Timeline of changes to Connect system for more targeted data collection. Length of time seems to be inappropriate.</p> <p>Strip search rationale is related to legislation and not individual officer’s rationale for choosing to use it.</p>	<p>the numbers we need. Will discuss in more detail at next panel regarding locations plan.</p> <p>Action</p> <p>JN – to plan short inputs relating to specific legislation and implementation of Use of Force at future Panel meetings.</p> <p>Examples of input include Impact Factors and PACE</p> <p>Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• HB/JN to track strip search data at panel regrading AA to establish 6 months where no Juv SS are done without an AA and no rationale given. Restarted due to having one in February.• HB to keep us up to date with any further changes to custody portfolio
---	---



	<p>Current changes occurring within the structure of custody across WM still not all clear.</p>																																		
<p>3.</p>	<p>Dip sample record Strip Search -</p> <p>A total of 6 records were covered.</p> <p>The option of Anonymized individual feedback was trialled recorded via Survey 123 and then general feedback was given.</p> <p>14 replies over six records: Search Result- item found</p> <p>Do the panel feel the reason for the strip search was necessary and reasonable?</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Answers</th> <th>Count</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>11</td> <td>78.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>3</td> <td>21.43%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>If Juvenile or vulnerable was an AA present? If not why? N/A in 4 records due to age AA present in 2 required</p> <p>Do you feel the rationale gives clear justification of why a strip search was authorised?</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Answers</th> <th>Count</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>9</td> <td>64.29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>3</td> <td>21.43%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unsure</td> <td>2</td> <td>14.29%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Scrutiny panel feedback Positive, Negative or Neutral?</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Answers</th> <th>Count</th> <th>Percentage</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Positive</td> <td>9</td> <td>64.29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Neutral</td> <td>3</td> <td>21.43%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Negative</td> <td>2</td> <td>14.29%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Answers	Count	Percentage	Yes	11	78.57%	No	3	21.43%	Answers	Count	Percentage	Yes	9	64.29%	No	3	21.43%	Unsure	2	14.29%	Answers	Count	Percentage	Positive	9	64.29%	Neutral	3	21.43%	Negative	2	14.29%	<p>.</p> <p>Panel happy with trail of IT to collate feedback. Will trial again at next panel for both SS and UOF</p> <p>We will do this by each person looking at records in groups, giving individual feedback online survey. Then come together to discuss results and any concerns or questions and any actions.</p>
Answers	Count	Percentage																																	
Yes	11	78.57%																																	
No	3	21.43%																																	
Answers	Count	Percentage																																	
Yes	9	64.29%																																	
No	3	21.43%																																	
Unsure	2	14.29%																																	
Answers	Count	Percentage																																	
Positive	9	64.29%																																	
Neutral	3	21.43%																																	
Negative	2	14.29%																																	



	<p>Dip sample of Use of Force records</p> <p>Due to limited time, Use of Force records were not scrutinized.</p>	
4.	<p>Action noted from discussions for update at next panel</p>	<p>WMP:</p> <p>Actions from data update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• HB – to look into ethnicity on connect and understanding of no Mixed Ethnicity options for officers. The impact of data due to this• HB to follow up to ascertain reasons for lengthy timeline with connect changes• JN- Clarification on legal stances of striped/strip search – section 54 etc <p>Records</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• TH - to collect more data following CCTV review for sample record (1SS), to clarify the extent of the Strip Search and feedback at next Panel meeting (May 2023)• Wider context regarding Record 3 SS <p>WMPCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Add slide for PACE – CODE C• Add slides from overview delivered
5.	<p>Date and location of next panel</p>	<p>Next panel to be held;</p> <p>Wednesday 3rd May 2023</p> <p>Please arrive on time - tea and coffee will be served at 5:45pm to allow us to start promptly.</p> <p>Perry Barr Custody Suite Holford Drive Birmingham B42 2TU</p>